# Foreman - Bug #11704

# Configuration no report appears as "green" icon

09/06/2015 07:01 AM - Ohad Levy

Status:	Closed			
Priority:	Normal			
Assignee:	Marek Hulán			
Category:	Reporting			
Target version:	1.10.0			
Difficulty:		Fixed in Releases:		
Triaged:		Found in Releases:	Nightly	
Bugzilla link:		Red Hat JIRA:		
Pull request:	https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/p ull/2705			
Description		I		
After upgrading to th see attachment.	e global host status, hosts who has no puppet	report appear as green	vs warning.	
Related issues:				
Related to Foreman - Feature #10782: Add global status for hosts			Closed	06/11/2015
Related to Foreman - Bug #11791: N+1 query on hosts#index retrieving puppet_p.		ppet_p	Closed	09/14/2015
Related to Foreman - Refactor #11836: Rename Puppet settings to Configuration			Closed	

## Associated revisions

## Revision 783fb4aa - 09/11/2015 04:05 PM - Marek Hulán

Fixes #11704 - consider no reports as warning if reports are expected

## History

### #1 - 09/06/2015 07:01 AM - Ohad Levy

- Related to Feature #10782: Add global status for hosts added

### #2 - 09/07/2015 03:02 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Category set to Reporting

- translation missing: en.field\_release set to 63

I think the intention is not to put hosts into a warning state when perhaps they don't even use configuration management.

However, we could implement #relevant? on ConfigurationStatus to be true when puppet? is true (and let other config management plugins to add their own conditions), then set it to warning if there are no reports.

## #3 - 09/07/2015 03:04 AM - Marek Hulán

So we should probably distinguish between a host with puppet master and the rest, or is there better way to resolve "#puppet?". Otherwise we'd mark discovered hosts or hosts not using puppet with warning flag.

#### #4 - 09/07/2015 03:08 AM - Dominic Cleal

I think checking puppet\_proxy (sorry, not #puppet?) is the only thing we usually do, e.g. on the host#edit form for whether to show Puppet-related tabs. Host status shouldn't apply to discovered hosts, but I think it's worth distinguishing between a host that should be sending reports and one that shouldn't.

## #5 - 09/07/2015 03:33 AM - Marek Hulán

- Assignee set to Marek Hulán

OK, that makes sense to me and you're right about discovered hosts. I'll probably get to it this week.

### #6 - 09/11/2015 07:37 AM - The Foreman Bot

## - Status changed from New to Ready For Testing

- Pull request https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/2705 added
- Pull request deleted ()

### #7 - 09/11/2015 05:01 PM - Marek Hulán

- % Done changed from 0 to 100
- Status changed from Ready For Testing to Closed

Applied in changeset 783fb4aa6feb7e1f60a651694849cc43829fa656.

## #8 - 09/14/2015 03:46 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Related to Bug #11791: N+1 query on hosts#index retrieving puppet\_proxy added

## #9 - 09/16/2015 03:51 AM - Marek Hulán

- Related to Refactor #11836: Rename Puppet settings to Configuration added

## Files

Screenshot from 2015-09-06 14-00-23.png

4.01 KB 09/06/2015

Ohad Levy