

Foreman - Feature #12213

Support for preferring a particular host status in the global view

10/19/2015 10:35 AM - Greg Sutcliffe

<div>Status:New</div> <div>Priority:Normal</div> <div>Assignee:</div> <div>Category:Reporting</div> <div>Target version:</div> <div>Difficulty:</div> <div>Triaged:</div> <div>Bugzilla link:</div> <div>Pull request:</div>	<div>Fixed in Releases:</div> <div>Found in Releases:</div> <div>Red Hat JIRA:</div>
<div>Description</div> <div>Currently we have this story implemented:</div> <div><div>- As a user I want to see the overall host status derived from all report types statuses.</div><div>I would argue that sometimes (probably per-host) you want to only display a specific status check on the Hosts index. For example, if I have Puppet and a (fictional, as yet) Nagios status, I might only care about Nagios on hosts where Puppet is not running.</div><div>I'd word this as either:</div><div><div>- As a user, I want to be able to select a specific host status to display as the overall host status</div><div>or (probably better)</div><div>- As a user, I want to be able to remove specific host statuses from the overall aggregate status</div></div></div>	
<div>Related issues:</div> <div>Related to Foreman - Feature #10782: Add global status for hosts</div> <div>Closed06/11/2015</div>	

History

#1 - 10/19/2015 10:36 AM - Greg Sutcliffe

- Description updated

#2 - 10/19/2015 10:37 AM - Greg Sutcliffe

- Related to Feature #10782: Add global status for hosts added

#3 - 10/20/2015 02:46 AM - Marek Hulán

I think that changing which states would affect global state of host by user would cause a lot of confuse. States already define "relevant?" method, so when e.g. puppet is not used, configuration status is not relevant and not being saved hence not even displayed in host detail. I think what we need is customizable host table column list (per user) so people interested in specific state can see only that one. I'd say that global status should remain untouched at least to keep searching and API consistent.

#4 - 10/20/2015 08:03 AM - Dominic Cleal

I agree with Marek. Either a customisable table, or somehow showing all detailed statuses in a minimal way (like miniature squares next to the status icon), may help.

#5 - 10/20/2015 11:54 AM - Greg Sutcliffe

Either of those sounds fine - my concern is that, as a user, I've potentially lost an overview. Sure, I can mouse-over or drill down into a host page for all statuses, but the at-a-glance health check is gone.