Smart Proxy - Bug #14153

Allow to run smart-proxy under passenger 5 with nginx

03/11/2016 04:15 AM - Mateusz Gozdek

Status:	Rejected	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:		
Category:	SSL	
Target version:		
Difficulty:		Fixed in Releases:
Triaged:	Yes	Found in Releases:
Bugzilla link:		Red Hat JIRA:
Pull request:		
Description		
In passenger 5, they changed nginx config syntax from passenger_set_cgi_param to passenger_set_header and passenger_env_var . In passenger 4 we set		
<pre>passenger_set_cgi_param SSL_CLIENT_CERT \$ssl_client_cert;</pre>		
to authorize SSL access to foreman-proxy. In passenger 5 this is unfortunately impossible, because passenger_env_var is resolved only during application startup, passenger_set_header is adding HTTP_prefix to each header, and because SSL_CLIENT_CERT header name is hardcoded in lib/sinatra/authorization.rb and lib/proxy/helpers.rb , we are not able to set proper header for authorization. Problem doesn't exists in apache2 , because there is +ExportCertData option, which adds headers properly.		
As a quick fix, I would suggest to allow to change SSL_CLIENT_CERT header name in settings.yamI file, with default value as SSL_CLIENT_CERT, to not affect current users.		
Another, and in my opinion better solution would be to change SSL validation mechanism to same as in foreman , that is to make certificate validation on webserver site, and only validate if		
SSL_CLIENT_VERIFY == SUCCESS		
. And about validation trusted_hosts , we can extract client hostname from SSL_CLIENT_S_DN , to avoid parsing whole SSL certificate.		
Suggesten nginx config part:		
<pre>passenger_set_header X-SSL-Client-S-DN \$ssl_client_s_dn; passenger_set_header X-SSL-Client-Verify \$ssl_client_verify;</pre>		
to set HTTP_X_SSL_CLIENT_S_DN and HTTP_X_SSL_CLIENT_VERIFY headers to validate.		
History		
#1 - 03/11/2016 04:18 AM - Dominic Cleal		
- Category set to SSL		
#2 - 03/11/2016 05:22 AM - The Foreman Bot		
- Status changed from New to Ready For Testing		
- Pull request https://github.com/theforeman/smart-proxy/pull/392 added		

#3 - 03/11/2016 05:25 AM - Mateusz Gozdek

I created pull request with fix. https://github.com/theforeman/smart-proxy/pull/392

#4 - 03/11/2016 06:03 AM - Anonymous

The alternative approach you suggested can be an option, but cannot be the sole way of performing client cert validation: it is pretty common to run smart-proxy directly on webrick.

#5 - 03/11/2016 08:47 AM - Mateusz Gozdek

You are right. I checked and webrick is passing only request with valid client certificate, which is correct. So our job is just to extract cn from client certificate. I think we can use HTTP_X_SSL_CLIENT_S_DN if it's not empty, otherwise, we will extract CN from certificate.

#6 - 01/29/2019 02:06 PM - Lukas Zapletal

- Status changed from Ready For Testing to Rejected
- Triaged changed from No to Yes
- Pull request deleted (https://github.com/theforeman/smart-proxy/pull/392)

The PR https://github.com/theforeman/smart-proxy/pull/392 did not make it. Feel free to reopen.