

Foreman - Feature #22737

Flag to avoid deletion from compute resource of an host associated when it's removed from satellite

03/01/2018 06:42 AM - Marek Hulán

Status: Closed	
Priority: Normal	
Assignee: Marek Hulán	
Category: VM management	
Target version:	
Difficulty:	Fixed in Releases: 1.20.0
Triaged: No	Found in Releases:
Bugzilla link: 1549761	Red Hat JIRA:
Pull request: https://github.com/foreman/foreman/pull/6122	
Description	
Cloned from https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1549761	
<p>1. Proposed title of this feature request Avoid the accidental Virtual Machines deletion from the compute resources when associated Host profile is deleted in Sat6 by setting a global configurable flag.</p> <p>3. What is the nature and description of the request? When a VM from a compute resource is registered into satellite, is linked to his host profile.</p> <p>In consequence of that, if that host is deleted from Satellite, is deleted from the compute resource as well.</p> <p>The request is to have a flag to avoid this behavior, but to maintain association, in order to be able to work and edit the host configuration (like puppet modules and so on).</p> <p>4. Why does the customer need this? (List the business requirements here) This feature is needed in order to avoid to have to de-associate VMs to prevent accidental VMs deletion.</p> <p>5. How would the customer like to achieve this? (List the functional requirements here)</p> <p>An option in foreman like:</p> <pre>delete_resource_on_host_removal</pre> <p>by default could be kept to true, to maintain the usual behaviour, but optionally this could be set to false, and change the behaviour.</p> <p>6. For each functional requirement listed in question 5, specify how Red Hat and the customer can test to confirm the requirement is successfully implemented.</p> <p>a) activate the flag b) delete and host profile c) ensure the VM remain active and existent</p> <p>8. Does the customer have any specific timeline dependencies? The sooner the better: currently the UX of the customer with the product is highly impacted by this.</p> <p>9. Is the sales team involved in this request and do they have any additional input? no</p> <p>11. Would the customer be able to assist in testing this functionality if implemented? Yes, sure</p>	
Related issues:	
Related to Foreman - Bug #25731: Confusing VM deletion setting description	Closed
Related to Foreman - Feature #25735: Add the host deletion warning also to ho...	Closed
Related to Foreman - Feature #21437: the delete host confirmation box could b...	Resolved

Associated revisions

Revision 336a5be5 - 10/12/2018 12:44 PM - Marek Hulán

Fixes #22737 - add a global option to keep VMs

History

#1 - 10/08/2018 08:32 PM - The Foreman Bot

- Status changed from New to Ready For Testing
- Assignee set to Marek Hulán
- Pull request <https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/6122> added

#2 - 10/12/2018 12:45 PM - Lukas Zapletal

- Subject changed from Flag to avoid deletion from compute resource of an host associated when it's removed from satellite to Flag to avoid deletion from compute resource of an host associated when it's removed from satellite
- Fixed in Releases 1.20.0 added

#3 - 10/12/2018 01:01 PM - Marek Hulán

- Status changed from Ready For Testing to Closed

Applied in changeset [336a5be581258909049f3c007afde3f884654a4d](#).

#4 - 12/19/2018 11:58 AM - Marek Hulán

- Related to Bug #25731: Confusing VM deletion setting description added

#5 - 12/19/2018 03:50 PM - Marek Hulán

- Related to Feature #25735: Add the host deletion warning also to host bulk action added

#6 - 01/11/2019 11:38 AM - Marek Hulán

- Related to Feature #21437: the delete host confirmation box could be a bit more explicit added