Installer - Bug #2302

Passenger App root is in a non-standard location

03/11/2013 01:37 PM - Gavin Williams

Status:	Resolved	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:		
Category:		
Target version:		
Difficulty:		Fixed in Releases:
Triaged:		Found in Releases:
Bugzilla link:		Red Hat JIRA:
Pull request:		

Description

Currently, the Passenger app root is configured in puppet::params to point to '\$dir/rack', where \$dir is set to '/etc/puppet' by default.

However the recommended location is '/usr/share/puppet/rack/puppetmasterd'.

Was it a concious decision to use '\$dir/rack', or an oversight?

History

#1 - 03/12/2013 08:36 AM - Gavin Williams

 $Have \ pushed \ a \ change \ to \ my \ local \ branch. \ \underline{https://github.com/fatmcgav/puppet-puppet/commit/4429c736837fad25371553d9351c26273d76f124}$

Comments welcome before I submit as a pull request.

#2 - 03/12/2013 09:00 AM - Dominic Cleal

Gavin Williams wrote:

Currently, the Passenger app root is configured in puppet::params to point to '\$dir/rack', where \$dir is set to '/etc/puppet' by default.

However the recommended location is '/usr/share/puppet/rack/puppetmasterd'.

That location only exists in the Debian puppet-passenger packages I think, it doesn't seem to exist in the Puppet RPMs.

Was it a concious decision to use '\$dir/rack', or an oversight?

I think this used to be the convention before /usr/share/puppet/rack was shipped, I've seen a lot of Puppet docs refer to /etc/puppet/rack over the years.

#3 - 03/12/2013 11:07 AM - Gavin Williams

Yeh, thought all the 3.0 documentation seems to suggest using /usr/share/puppet...

Looking at my current 3.0 master, I've got a /usr/share/puppet dir, but no rack dir within...

So sounds like need to modify the code to use '/usr/share/puppet', and create 'rack/puppetmasterd/{tmp,public}'...

#4 - 03/12/2013 11:09 AM - Dominic Cleal

It looks like the RPMs ship the upstream "ext" directory as-is, so there is this: /usr/share/puppet/ext/rack/files/config.ru

I'm fine with your proposed changes. Just make sure that on Debian we have the right package installed too, I've a feeling we don't install the puppet/passenger subpackage today and we may need to after this change.

#5 - 03/12/2013 11:13 AM - Gavin Williams

Dominic Cleal wrote:

It looks like the RPMs ship the upstream "ext" directory as-is, so there is this: /usr/share/puppet/ext/rack/files/config.ru

05/20/2024 1/2

I'm fine with your proposed changes. Just make sure that on Debian we have the right package installed too, I've a feeling we don't install the puppet/passenger subpackage today and we may need to after this change.

Ah, just spotted the /usr/share/puppet/ext/rack dir, which looks like it includes a lot of other related stuff in there aswell...

Will do my best with Debian support, however haven't used it with Puppet as yet so may need some pointers:)

#6 - 03/12/2013 11:14 AM - Greg Sutcliffe

We don't install the Debian package because it pulls in other stuff, and ships a vhost that conflicts with ours. Is there any **need** to fix this? Non-standard isn't a problem if it works:)

#7 - 03/12/2013 11:27 AM - Gavin Williams

Greg Sutcliffe wrote:

We don't install the Debian package because it pulls in other stuff, and ships a vhost that conflicts with ours. Is there any **need** to fix this? Non-standard isn't a problem if it works:)

True... Or could just put some logic in to retain current behaviour for Debian and bring in-line for EL...

What about the current behaviour is required for Debian to work?

#8 - 05/07/2016 12:02 PM - Anonymous

- Description updated
- Status changed from New to Resolved

This works since a long time also on Debian and FreeBSD.

05/20/2024 2/2