

## Foreman - Bug #239

### Class import doesn't prune erased classes

05/06/2010 01:39 PM - SyReinity Now

<b>Status:</b> Closed	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b> Paul Kelly	
<b>Category:</b> Importers	
<b>Target version:</b> 0.1-6	
<b>Difficulty:</b>	<b>Fixed in Releases:</b>
<b>Triaged:</b>	<b>Found in Releases:</b>
<b>Bugzilla link:</b>	<b>Red Hat JIRA:</b>
<b>Pull request:</b>	
<b>Description</b> I imported some classes, which I later erased from disk.  Repeating import doesn't prune these classes.	
<b>Related issues:</b> Related to Foreman - Bug #386: ImportClasses should be callable from a rake task <span style="float: right;"><b>Closed</b>      <b>09/23/2010</b></span>	

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision adcd0374 - 09/19/2010 01:19 PM - Paul Kelly

Fixes #239 - Erases environments and puppetclasses

The fix also includes a page in which the use selects the additions and removals which they would wish to apply to their database. Errors are reported and an opportunity is given to reapply the changes.

#### History

##### #1 - 05/30/2010 05:00 PM - Ohad Levy

- Target version set to 0.1-6

##### #2 - 09/11/2010 06:48 PM - Paul Kelly

- Status changed from New to Ready For Testing

- Assignee changed from Ohad Levy to Paul Kelly

- Branch set to bug/239-prune-erased-classes

The fix also includes a page in which the user selects the additions and removals which they would wish to apply to their database. Errors are reported and an opportunity is given to reapply the changes.

##### #3 - 09/12/2010 08:16 AM - Ohad Levy

Paul Kelly wrote:

The fix also includes a page in which the user selects the additions and removals which they would wish to apply to their database. Errors are reported and an opportunity is given to reapply the changes.

Would you mind adding tests?

##### #4 - 09/16/2010 08:15 AM - Paul Kelly

A few minor fixes

Tests added

NOTE that this branch consists of two commits, [#239](#) and then [#377](#), as the assert\_select tests do not work without XHTML compliance

I would guess that git will find that the patch has already been applied if you were to apply this branch to develop after the separate [#377](#) branch had been applied.

**#5 - 09/19/2010 01:25 PM - Paul Kelly**

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset [adcd0374b6f62d483a1b324b30a98bf98f45765](#).

**#6 - 09/19/2010 03:05 PM - Ohad Levy**

- Status changed from Ready For Testing to Closed