

Foreman - Feature #3099

Adding parameters to locations

09/16/2013 10:47 AM - Jon Shanks

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Fixed in Releases:</b> <b>Found in Releases:</b> <b>Red Hat JIRA:</b>
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Ohad Levy	
<b>Category:</b>	Organizations and Locations	
<b>Target version:</b>	1.4.0	
<b>Difficulty:</b>		
<b>Triaged:</b>		
<b>Bugzilla link:</b>		
<b>Pull request:</b>		
<b>Description</b>		
Hey,		
It would be nice to be able to add parameters to locations as oppose just host groups.		
We might want a specific set of data tied to a location that is a set of parameters, which then would enable you to inherit that data for your hostgroup. i.e. i might want		
Location/Datacentre/Datacentre_hall -> which would contain a set of parameters tied to that hall		
When i add a new host, i would choose it's location and then the hostgroup i.e. role of the server it belongs to.		
Currently i have locations and then a load of hostgroups for al the varying locations.		

Associated revisions

Revision 2de7832d - 01/15/2014 04:48 PM - Ohad Levy

fixes #3099 - Adding parameters to locations and organizations

History

- #1 - 09/16/2013 10:59 AM - Dominic Cleal
- Category set to Organizations and Locations
  - Target version deleted (1.3.0)
- #2 - 09/16/2013 03:02 PM - Jon Shanks
- To add clarity. If i have a set of data tied to a location i want to define it at a location level. Not have to create a host group, named the same name as the location to then associate with the location. as it's duplicating elements.
- #3 - 11/21/2013 01:35 PM - Dominic Cleal
- Status changed from New to Assigned
  - Assignee set to Amos Benari
  - Target version set to 1.10.0
- #4 - 12/04/2013 01:11 PM - Dominic Cleal
- Target version changed from 1.10.0 to 1.9.3
- #5 - 01/09/2014 08:16 AM - Ohad Levy
- what would be the order of override e.g.
- currently
- if something is defined in the hostgroup, it can be overridden per host, and normally the order is:
- global -> domain -> os -> hostgroup -> host

where would we put org and locations?

**#6 - 01/09/2014 09:43 AM - Ohad Levy**

- Status changed from Assigned to Ready For Testing
- Assignee changed from Amos Benari to Ohad Levy

<https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/1136>

**#7 - 01/09/2014 02:09 PM - Anonymous**

- Target version changed from 1.9.3 to 1.9.2

**#8 - 01/09/2014 03:45 PM - Jon Shanks**

Hey,

I guess from my point of view, as a user, it would be

global -> location -> domain -> OS -> Hostgroup -> host

As you may tie many domains to locations so you would want a location to be the top and global wouldn't be global if it was placed beneath something more global.

Thanks

Jon

**#9 - 01/15/2014 11:24 AM - Ohad Levy**

what about orgs, on top of locations on the other way around? I tend to put them on top, but that's just my preference.

**#10 - 01/15/2014 11:31 AM - Jon Shanks**

Hey.

Yep that makes sense to me too ;-)

I'd logically think of it as an organization have a presence in one or many locations as oppose a location having one or more organizaional presences when it comes to the infrasdtructure as the infrastructure really ties to the organization first and foremost.

Jon

**#11 - 01/15/2014 04:48 PM - Dominic Cleal**

- translation missing: en.field\_release set to 2

Thanks for the feedback Jon, very useful.

**#12 - 01/15/2014 05:31 PM - Ohad Levy**

- Status changed from Ready For Testing to Closed
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset [2de7832d6970797dc2e7c8247502d8bf130445b2](#).