

Foreman - Bug #33771

Virtual NIC naming conventions expected by fact_parser.rb cause registrations to fail

10/22/2021 10:09 PM - Pablo Hess

<div>Status: Ready For Testing</div> <div>Priority: Normal</div> <div>Assignee: Pablo Hess</div> <div>Category: Host registration</div> <div>Target version:</div> <div>Difficulty: easy</div> <div>Triaged: No</div> <div>Bugzilla link: 2016717</div> <div>Pull request: https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/8867</div>	<div>Fixed in Releases:</div> <div>Found in Releases:</div> <div>Red Hat JIRA:</div>
<div>Description</div> <div>Description of problem: When registering a host with virtual NICs that do not follow the most common NIC naming patterns, host registration with `subscription-manager` fails to parse NIC facts and results in 422 Unprocesable Entity error by foreman.</div> <div>Version-Release number of selected component (if applicable): foreman-2.3.1.24-1.el7sat.noarch</div> <div>How reproducible: Every time given the "right" conditions i.e. the "right" NIC names on a host.</div> <div>Steps to Reproduce: 1. Have a host with a bridge named something other than br0, br1, etc. E.g. my-br. 2. Add a VLAN-tagged vNIC to this host, e.g. my-br.42. 3. Try to register this host to Satellite with `subscription-manager register`.</div> <div>Actual results: Registration fails and the client receives an error message: "HTTP error (422 - Unknown): Validation failed: Attached to can't be blank"</div> <div>Expected results: Registration would succeed.</div> <div>Additional info: Satellite logs this to production.log while processing the NIC::Managed events: 2021-10-15T10:18:44 [E kat a0a5a5bf] <Class> ActiveRecord::RecordInvalid 2021-10-15T10:18:44 [E kat a0a5a5bf] attached_to: ["can't be blank"] 2021-10-15T10:18:44 [I app a0a5a5bf] Completed 422 Unprocessable Entity in 13967ms (Views: 0.2ms ActiveRecord: 3529.9ms Allocations: 2509109)</div> <div>If I rename the bridge from `my-br` to e.g. `br2` and the respective VLAN-tagged vNIC from `my-br.42` to `br2.42` then the registration succeeds.</div> <div>I've investigated the issue and the problem is in the regexes used to identify virtual interfaces while foreman tries to locate the physical interface to mark as primary for the newly-registered host. PR is linked.</div>	

History

- #1 - 10/25/2021 03:00 PM - The Foreman Bot
- Status changed from New to Ready For Testing