

## Foreman - Bug #4371

### RBAC UI - verbs used should match hammer

02/17/2014 11:00 PM - Thomas McKay

<b>Status:</b> Rejected	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b>	
<b>Category:</b> Web Interface	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Difficulty:</b>	<b>Fixed in Releases:</b>
<b>Triaged:</b>	<b>Found in Releases:</b>
<b>Bugzilla link:</b> 1103864	<b>Red Hat JIRA:</b>
<b>Pull request:</b>	
<b>Description</b> hammer architecture show hammer architecture list etc.  Same terminology should be used in UI for RBAC	
<b>Related issues:</b> Blocks Foreman - Tracker #4552: New permissions/authorization system issues <b>New</b>	

#### History

##### #1 - 02/17/2014 11:01 PM - Thomas McKay

Probably handled through translation? \_('view\_architectures') -> "Architectures List"

##### #2 - 03/03/2014 01:24 PM - Anonymous

- Target version set to 1.9.0

##### #3 - 03/05/2014 11:04 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Blocked by Tracker #4552: New permissions/authorization system issues added

##### #4 - 03/05/2014 11:04 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Blocked by deleted (Tracker #4552: New permissions/authorization system issues)

##### #5 - 03/05/2014 11:05 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Blocks Tracker #4552: New permissions/authorization system issues added

##### #6 - 03/26/2014 01:31 PM - Anonymous

- Target version changed from 1.9.0 to 1.8.4

##### #7 - 03/26/2014 07:05 PM - Daniel Lobato Garcia

- Target version deleted (1.8.4)

Foreman has been using view, create, index, etc.. for a long time.

I would leave as it is right now, I don't think its a problem at all, but if anything should change, I'd argue it's Hammer that should change the verbs to conform to Foreman permissions.

##### #8 - 03/26/2014 07:06 PM - Daniel Lobato Garcia

- Target version set to 1.8.4

##### #9 - 04/24/2014 12:08 PM - Anonymous

- Target version deleted (1.8.4)

**#10 - 06/02/2014 06:54 PM - Thomas McKay**

- Bugzilla link set to [https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show\\_bug.cgi?id=1103864](https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1103864)

**#11 - 02/08/2016 05:45 AM - Marek Hulán**

I'd propose closing this issue. I've never heard anyone complaining about this. If no one objects, I'll close it in few days. Just for the record I agree with Daniel that it's hammer that should change terminology if some change is needed.

**#12 - 02/16/2016 09:56 AM - Marek Hulán**

- Status changed from *New* to *Rejected*

No one objects, rejecting. Feel free to reopen if you disagree.