

Foreman - Bug #5897

Missing user mail address should not be required for admin updating a user

05/23/2014 07:22 AM - Dominic Cleal

Status:	Closed	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:	Tom Caspy	
Category:	Authentication	
Target version:	1.10.0	
Difficulty:		
Triaged:		
Bugzilla link:	1099988	Fixed in Releases:
Pull request:	https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/2244	Found in Releases:
		Red Hat JIRA:
Description		
Cloned from https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1099988		
Description of problem:		
When creating a user the --mail option is not required but when updating it is.		
Version-Release number of selected component (if applicable):		
hammer (0.1.0) * hammer_cli_foreman (0.1.0) * hammer_cli_katello (0.0.3)		
How reproducible:		
Always		
Steps to Reproduce:		
1. # hammer user create --login testuser --password userpasswd --auth-source-id 1		
User created		
2. # hammer user list --per-page 10000 grep testuser		
48 testuser		
3. # hammer user update --id 48 --login usertest		
Could not update the user:		
Email address can't be blank		
4. # hammer user update --id 48 --login usertest --mail test@user.com		
User updated		
5. # hammer user list --per-page 10000 grep "48 "		
48 usertest test@user.com		
Actual results:		
Expected results:		
If the --mail is required on creation then should ensure that it is filled on update. But if it is not required on creation it should be not required when updating.		
Additional info:		
Related issues:		
Related to Foreman - Refactor #10946: User mail address should either be alwa...		New
		06/29/2015

Associated revisions

Revision 564b322f - 07/20/2015 10:28 AM - Tom Caspy

fixes #5897 - when user doesn't have an email, email isn't required

History

#1 - 05/23/2014 07:23 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Category set to Authentication
- Assignee deleted (Dominic Cleal)

This is currently by design, so an admin can create a user without an e-mail address and they're forced to update it on their first login. I'm not sure

that's a great design though and would prefer to simply make it optional. Thoughts?

#2 - 01/11/2015 06:53 AM - Tom Caspy

- Assignee set to Tom Caspy

proposed solution - user's auth source defaults to requiring email. in case of hidden, external and LDAP auth sources, they allow blank emails. creating pull request.

#3 - 01/11/2015 06:55 AM - The Foreman Bot

- Status changed from New to Ready For Testing

- Pull request <https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/2065> added

- Pull request deleted ()

#4 - 01/26/2015 06:22 AM - Daniel Lobato Garcia

Not a bug in my opinion, only users that have never logged in the UI can be in the db without a password, as soon as they log in they have to set one.

#5 - 01/26/2015 06:28 AM - Dominic Cleal

There are two parts to this, in my opinion.

The bug here is that an admin who creates a user without an e-mail address then updates it before the user has logged in, shouldn't be required to provide the e-mail address on update. I think this is valid.

The design issue of forcing a user to set their e-mail address on login is perhaps a separate issue, but I thought when filing this that if we fixed the design, we might eliminate the bug above. My view on the design is that we should perhaps simply have the e-mail address as an optional field and not force anybody to set it - or have it required, but that'd introduce issues with certain auth sources.

#6 - 03/18/2015 06:18 AM - Tom Caspy

- Status changed from Ready For Testing to Assigned

- Pull request added

- Pull request deleted (<https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/2065>)

#7 - 03/19/2015 04:41 AM - The Foreman Bot

- Status changed from Assigned to Ready For Testing

- Pull request <https://github.com/theforeman/foreman/pull/2244> added

- Pull request deleted ()

#8 - 06/29/2015 07:29 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Subject changed from User mail address should either be always optional or always required to Missing user mail address should not be required for admin updating a user

- translation missing: en.field_release set to 35

#9 - 06/29/2015 07:32 AM - Dominic Cleal

- translation missing: en.field_release deleted (35)

#10 - 06/29/2015 07:32 AM - Dominic Cleal

- Related to Refactor #10946: User mail address should either be always optional or always required added

#11 - 07/20/2015 10:28 AM - Dominic Cleal

- translation missing: en.field_release set to 63

#12 - 07/20/2015 11:01 AM - Tom Caspy

- Status changed from Ready For Testing to Closed

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset [564b322f82ec9c4b8f6d4d1b9be82c71c801c16d](#).