

Katello - Bug #5960

Need to determine Applicability API completion based on status field vs finished_time

05/27/2014 07:58 PM - Justin Sherrill

Status: Closed	
Priority: High	
Assignee: Justin Sherrill	
Category:	
Target version: Katello 2.0	
Difficulty:	Fixed in Releases:
Triaged: Yes	Found in Releases:
Bugzilla link: 1099668	Red Hat JIRA:
Pull request:	
Description	
<p>There are times when there may not be a "finished_time" field set on the return results of an API call to pulp's applicability API.</p> <p>This results in Katello's CV publish being stuck in the 'pending' state and is not the best way to determine if an API call is finished or not.</p> <p>The better way is to use the status field of the API call and poll that to see if a task/call is complete.</p> <p>IRC convo for context:</p> <pre><jsherrill> mmccune: suspended is common for remote tasks (like pulp tasks) when the task is sleeping waiting for it to finish in pulp <jsherrill> finish_time: <jsherrill> there's no finish_time! <jsherrill> that's how we figure out if a task is actually finished or not <mmccune> ahh <jsherrill> rbarlow: applicability generation question ^ <jsherrill> why is finish_time blank on applicability_generation ? <jsherrill> generation* <jsherrill> is that expected? <rbarlow> hmmm, that isn't expected to me <rbarlow> though, i would suggest against using finish_time to know if a task is finished <rbarlow> i would recommend making a set of "final states" and checking to see if state is in that set <rbarlow> things like failed, canceled, finished <rbarlow> that might be all of them <jsherrill> rbarlow: yeha i'm not sure why we do <rbarlow> actually i know a weird thing i did that might make finish time not always be present - if you cancel a task, it most often won't get a finish_time, but it will get one if its a sync or a publish <rbarlow> it's implementation details <mmccune> in this case, i didnt cancel <rbarlow> and i was hoping to find time to fix that, but it didn't seem as important as other problems we've got right now [] <rbarlow> yeah i was just mentioning it so you knew that finish_time was a "best effort" and not a guarantee <rbarlow> i would like to fix that <rbarlow> but in the meantime, i'd suggest that it's probably better to use the task state anyway - that's what it's for <jsherrill> rbarlow: yeah, mmccune mind filing an issue for that? <mmccune> on it <jsherrill> thanks</pre>	

Associated revisions

Revision be2bfcf2 - 05/27/2014 07:59 PM - Justin Sherrill

fixes #5960 - also look at task states to determine completion

sometimes finish_time is not actually set

Revision 820ea79a - 05/29/2014 03:13 PM - Justin Sherrill

Merge pull request #4161 from jsherrill/states

fixes #5960 - also look at task states to determine completion

History

#1 - 05/27/2014 07:59 PM - Justin Sherrill

- Bugzilla link set to https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1099668

#2 - 05/27/2014 08:07 PM - Justin Sherrill

- Target version set to 45

#3 - 05/29/2014 03:52 PM - Justin Sherrill

- Status changed from Assigned to Closed

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset katello|commit:be2bfcf20321759796c42c22df89fd6c9426c4c2.

#4 - 06/05/2014 03:35 AM - Eric Helms

- Triaged changed from No to Yes